

Letter to Editor



Evaluation of Silibinin Effect on U-CH2 and MCF-7 Cell Lines through xCELLigence System

Zohreh Jahanafrooz^{1*}, Beate Rinner^{2*}

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Maragheh, Maragheh, Iran

²Division of Biomedical Research, Medical University Graz, Graz, Austria

Received August 12, 2021, Revised September 28, 2021, Accepted October 22, 2021, published October 26, 2021

Dear Editor,

Silibinin is a polyphenolic flavonolignan isolated from milk thistle and has diverse effects in different cancer cell lines. Silibinin showed a significant cytotoxic effect on various human cancer cell lines such as MCF-7, T47D, DU145, and PC3 cells and less cytotoxic effect on some other cancer cell lines such as MUG-CC1, MUG-Chor1, and U-CH2.¹⁻⁴

Here, we investigated and compared proliferation and viability of U-CH2 (human sacral chordoma cell line) and MCF-7 (human breast adenocarcinoma cell line) cells under silibinin treatment through xCELLigence system, as a one accurate anticancer drug screening system. This system is dynamic monitoring of cellular phenotypic changes in real-time using impedance as




Figure 1. Real-time viability assessment of MCF-7 and U-CH2 cell lines under silibinin treatment. **a:** The whole-cell index of U-CH2 (red and green graphs) is higher than the MCF-7 (blue and pink graphs) because of the larger cell size of U-CH2. Silibinin treatment was performed at the 18th hour after cell seeding (in about 60% confluence). Silibinin effect began at least 10 hours later. As shown here, silibinin reduced the cell index of MCF-7 (i.e., 2.5) more than (i.e., 1.1) U-CH2 cells. Vertical lines show standard deviations. E-plate and characteristic of its wells depicted on the top-right. **b:** xCELLigence assessment of U-CH2 cells under silibinin treatment until 100th hour. The reduction of the cell index is 7. **c:** xCELLigence assessment of MCF-7 cells under silibinin treatment until 100th hour. The reduction of the cell index is 8.2. **b** and **c:** control groups are in red color, and treated groups are in the green graph. According to phase contrast microscopy (after 48h treatment), silibinin caused condensation of U-CH2 cells and reduction in cell proliferation and viability of MCF-7 cells. Dead and detached MCF-7 cells had round shapes.

*Corresponding Authors: Beate Rinner, Tel: (+43) 316 385 73524, Fax: (+43) 316 385 73009, Email: beate.rinner@medunigraz.at; Zohreh Jahanafrooz, Tel: +98 41 37276060, Fax: +98 41 3727800, Email: jahanafrooz@maragheh.ac.ir

© 2023 The Author (s). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, as long as the original authors and source are cited. No permission is required from the authors or the publishers.

readout. The system measures electrical impedance across interdigitated micro-electrodes integrated on the bottom of E-plates displayed as the cell index (CI) value. CI value provides quantitative information about the biological status of the cells. Different cellular changes (e.g., cell growth, cell proliferation, cell morphology, cell migration, and cell death) can alter CI in xCELLigence assessment.⁵ Real-time viability assessment of MCF-7 cell lines under silibinin treatment confirmed our previous results. Both proliferation and viability or metabolic activity of MCF-7 cells are influenced under silibinin treatment. This is in accordance with both phase contrast microscopy and our MTT and apoptosis results previously reported.¹ Interestingly, at first exposure hours, silibinin caused an increase in the CI of MCF-7 cells, but it was not a significant one; it can result from the antioxidant properties of silibinin or other imposed alterations of culture media (such as pH change) after silibinin administration at first exposure hours, that needs other experiments to clarify exactly.⁶ As shown by phase contrast microscopy in [Figure 1](#), silibinin mainly influenced the morphology of U-CH2 cells at 48 hours after silibinin treatment and caused a slight decrease in CI. According to our previous MTS and apoptosis results, silibinin induced low cytotoxicity on U-CH2 viability and proliferation at 48 hours²; therefore, silibinin affected the morphology of U-CH2 cells and their attachment to the surface at first two days. U-CH2 cells reacted more slowly to silibinin; it can be assumed that the plasma membrane of U-CH2 cells are less permeable to silibinin because, according to our previous report,² at higher concentrations of silibinin (i.e., more than 300 µg/mL in which normal cells are also affected) U-CH2 cells showed more sensitivity. Another notable issue is that silibinin's effect on cell proliferation and viability did not start immediately, and at least it takes about 10 hours to be effective ([Figure 1](#)). Together,

real-time viability assessment is a more sensitive and valuable approach for the evaluation of anticancer agents. According to this xCELLigence assessment, silibinin is a promising drug for breast cancer but less favorable for chordoma therapy because a desirable anticancer drug induces apoptotic cell death in cancer cells at low doses with short time periods to be less cytotoxic to normal cells.

Ethical Issues

No ethical issues for this work.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Jahanafrooz Z, Motameh N, Bakhshandeh B. Comparative evaluation of silibinin effects on cell cycling and apoptosis in human breast cancer MCF-7 and T47D cell lines. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2016;17(5):2661-5.
2. Jahanafrooz Z, Stallinger A, Anders I, Kleinegger F, Lohberger B, Durchschein C, et al. Influence of silibinin and β - β -dimethylacrylshikonin on chordoma cells. *Phytomedicine* 2018;49:32-40. doi: [10.1016/j.phymed.2018.06.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2018.06.005)
3. Anastopoulos I, Sfakianos AP, Franco R, Chlichlia K, Panayiotidis MI, Kroll DJ, et al. A novel role of silibinin as a putative epigenetic modulator in human prostate carcinoma. *Molecules* 2016;22(1):62. doi: [10.3390/molecules22010062](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules22010062)
4. Jahanafrooz Z, Motamed N, Rinner B, Mokhtarzadeh A, Baradaran B. Silibinin to improve cancer therapeutic, as an apoptotic inducer, autophagy modulator, cell cycle inhibitor, and microRNAs regulator. *Life Sci* 2018;213:236-47. doi: [10.1016/j.lfs.2018.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2018.10.009)
5. Heidinger A, Rabensteiner DF, Rabensteiner J, Kieslinger P, Horwath-Winter J, Stabenheiner E, et al. Decreased viability and proliferation of CHANG conjunctival epithelial cells after contact with ultraviolet light-irradiated pollen. *Cutan Ocul Toxicol* 2018;37(3):210-7. doi: [10.1080/15569527.2017.1414226](https://doi.org/10.1080/15569527.2017.1414226)
6. Surai PF. Silymarin as a natural antioxidant: an overview of the current evidence and perspectives. *Antioxidants (Basel)* 2015;4(1):204-47. doi: [10.3390/antiox4010204](https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox4010204)